

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 179095 TOSEC 080143

60

ORIGIN SS-15

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 CCO-00 (ISO) R

66619

DRAFTED BY:S/S-O:PJOHNSONSON:COS

APPROVED BY:S/S-O:PJOHNSON

----- 120454

O 292337Z JUL 75 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USDEL SECRETARY IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T STATE 179095 TOSEC 080143

EXDIS

FOLLOWING REPEATS SALT TWO GENEVA 254 ACTION DEPT INFO
MOSCOW JULY 29TH

QUOTE

S E C R E T SALT TWO GENEVA 254

EXDIS/SALT

DEPT ALSO PASS DOD

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

DEPARTMENT PLEASE PASS TO SECRETARY KISSINGER'S PARTY

1. AT THIS MORNING'S MEETING I TABLED OUR REVISED PROPOSAL ON
SUBSEQUENT NEGOTIATIONS (STATE 170798) AND MADE STATEMENT THEREON.
AS HE HAD PREVIOUSLY INFORMED ME, DEMENOV MADE BRIEF STATEMENT
ON DEFINITIONS ARTICLE FOLLOWED BY MORE DETAILED STATEMENTS
BY SCHUKIN, TRUSOV AND BELETSKY.

2. ALTHOUGH IN MY STATEMENT I FELT THAT I HAD POINTED OUT THE
DISTINCT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO SETS OF NEGOTIATIONS PROVIDED
FOR IN OUR PROPOSAL, THAT IS THE NOGOTIATIONS FOR FURTHER
SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 179095 TOSEC 080143

LIMITATIONS AND REDUCTIONS NO LATER THAN ONE YEAR AFTER ENTRY
INTO FORCE OF THE NEW AGREEMENT AND THE NEGOTIATIONS FOR A
POST-1958 FOLLOW-ON AGREEMENT, IN OUR PRIVATE CONVERSATION
HE QUESTIONED ME ON THHIS POINT, AND I SOUGHT TO CLARIFY IT.

3. THEIR PRESENTATIONS ON DEFINITIONS ARTICLE INCLUDED A NEW TWIST TO THE EFFECT THAT OUR SEPARATING LAUNCHER AND MISSILE DEFINITIONS WOULD LEAVE DOOR OPEN FOR ALLEGATION THAT "ANY DEVICE OR EQUIPMENT," NO MATTER HOW FAR FETCHED, WHICH CAN BE USED FOR LAUNCHING A MISSILE IS IN FACT AN ICBM OR SLBM LAUNCHER. IN THIS CONNECTION THEY NOTED THAT WE MIGHT EVEN ALLEGE THAT A MR/IRBM LAUNCHER IS AN ICBM LAUNCHER OR THAT ANY DEVICE OR EQUIPMENT, WHETHER ON A SUBMARINE OR NOT, WHICH COULD LAUNCH A SLBM WAS IN FACT A SLBM LAUNCHER. (IN HIS STATEMENT TRUSOV SPECIFICALLY SAID THAT THEIR SLBM LAUNCHER DEFINITION REFLECTED AGREED SCC PROCEDURES AND THUS IMPLIED THAT SNN-6 WOULD BE COVERED IN THEIR SBLM DEFINITION.)

4. IN HIS PRIVATE CONVERSATION WITH MAY, SHCHUKIN (WHO MADE THEIR PRESENTATION ON MIRV DEFINITION) SAID THAT SOVIET DEFINITION WAS MORE PRECISE THAN US DEFINITION IN THAT IT COVERED ALL METHODS BY WHICH TWO OR MORE WARHEADS COULD EITHER BE GUIDED OR MANEUVERED AFTER SEPARATION FROM THEIR BOOSTER TO ARBITRARY PRE-SELECTED TARGETS. HE SAID THE US DEFINITION IN CONTRAST MIGHT MAKE FOR SOME CONFUSION BETWEEN MIRVS AND MRVS, IN THAT MRVS MIGHT BE USED TO TARGET CERTAIN FIXED PATTERNS.

5. ALSO IN HIS BILATERAL CONVERSATION WITH MAY, SHCHUKIN CONFIRMED THE MEANINGS OF "PRACTICE" AND "MEASURE" THAT HE HAD SET FORTH IN THEIR CONVERSATION ON JULY 25(PARA 5 SALT TWO-689 AND PARA ONE SALT TWO 692). HE ALSO SAID THAT IN HIS VIEW THE TERM "INTERFERENCE" INCLUDED DESTRUCTION OF NTM.

6. IN OUR PRIVATE CONVERSATION SEMENOV ACCEPTED MY SUGGESTION THAT AT OUR NEXT BILATERAL MEETING ON AUGUST 1 WE TAKE UP ARTICLE XVIII (SCC PROCEDURES) ESPECIALLY INFORMATION EXCHANGE (PARATWO ((A)) AND DISMANTLING DESTRUCTION AND NOTIFICATION (PARA TWO (B)), AND I ACCEPTED HIS SUGGESTION THAT KLOSSON, EARLE KARPOV AND SMOLIN ALSO PARTICIPATE.
SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 179095 TOSEC 080143

(HE SAID THEIR STATEMENT AT NEXT MEETING WILL BE ON PARA THREE OF ARTICLE XVII(VERIFICATION).

7. IN HIS POST-MEETING CONVERSATION WITH KLOSSON AND EARLE, KARPOV SAID THAT, ALTHOUGH CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE NEW AGREEMENT WOULD NOT TAKE EFFECT UNTIL 1977, HE THOUGHT IT WAS WORTH CONSIDERING AGREEMENT THAT ALL PROVISIONS IN NEW AGREEMENT NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE IA SHOULD BE OBSERVED FROM DATE OF SIGNATURE IN SAME PATTERN AS "STANDSTILL" THAT WAS APPLIED IN IA AND ABM TREATY IN 1972.

8. NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR FRIDAY MORNING AUGUST 1.

9. THERE WILL BE NO HIGHLIGHTS CABLE THIS MEETING. JOHNSON
UNQUOTE INGERSOLL

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TOSEC, POLICIES, SALT (ARMS CONTROL), DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 29 JUL 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE179095
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter:
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750261-0881
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197507107/baaaaqpj.tel
Line Count: 113
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 15 JUL 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: WITHDRAWN <16 JUN 2003 by CunninFX, REFER TO DOD/OSD>; RELEASED <15 JUL 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <15 JUL 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: n/a
TAGS: PARM
To: SECRETARY
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006